

**DISTRICT-LEVEL RENTER STATISTICS**

	Total Renter Households	Severely Burdened Households*	% with Severe Burden		Affordable and Available Rental Units Per 100	Surplus/ (Deficit) of Affordable and Available Rental Units
Income at or below 30% of AMI	21,376	15,901	74%	Income at or below 30% of AMI	38	-13,193
Income between 31% and 50% of AMI	15,530	5,823	37%	Income at or below 50% of AMI	68	-11,626
Income between 51% and 80% of AMI	16,423	925	6%	Income at or below 80% of AMI	111	5,862
All Renter Households	82,935	22,767	27%			

**Renters make up 32% of all households in the District**

Source: NLIHC tabulations of 2012-2016 Comprehensive Housing Affordability Strategy (CHAS) data

**STATE-LEVEL RENTER STATISTICS**

	Total Renter Households	Severely Burdened Households*	% with Severe Burden		Affordable and Available Rental Units Per 100	Surplus/ (Deficit) of Affordable and Available Rental Units
Income at or below 30%** of AMI	181,160	119,965	66%	Income at or below 30%** of AMI	56	-78,840
Income between 31%** and 50% of AMI	84,765	22,972	27%	Income at or below 50% of AMI	80	-53,922
Income between 51% and 80% of AMI	117,331	4,839	4%	Income at or below 80% of AMI	110	37,254
All Renter Households	593,097	148,458	25%			

**Renters make up 32% of all households in the state**

Source: NLIHC tabulations of 2018 American Community Survey (ACS) Public Use Microdata Sample (PUMS)

**REGIONAL RENTAL AFFORDABILITY STATISTICS**

Metropolitan Statistical Areas (MSAs) and Counties in Districts	Total Renter Households	AMI	30% of AMI	Rent Affordable at 30% of AMI	One Bdrm Fair Market Rent	One Bdrm Housing Wage	Two Bdrm Fair Market Rent	Two Bdrm Housing Wage	Hours at Minimum Wage for Two Bdrm	Avg Renter Wage
Mobile MSA	51,743	\$60,600	\$18,180	\$455	\$681	\$13.10	\$848	\$16.31	90	\$13.04
Daphne-Fairhope-Foley MSA	20,663	\$80,500	\$24,150	\$604	\$773	\$14.87	\$888	\$17.08	94	\$11.56
Escambia County	3,736	\$44,700	\$13,410	\$335	\$549	\$10.56	\$628	\$12.08	67	\$11.26
Clarke County	3,266	\$53,400	\$16,020	\$401	\$538	\$10.35	\$628	\$12.08	67	\$11.08
Monroe County	3,201	\$45,000	\$13,500	\$338	\$549	\$10.56	\$628	\$12.08	67	\$8.94
Washington County	1,055	\$47,100	\$14,130	\$353	\$504	\$9.69	\$633	\$12.17	67	\$22.37

Source: Out of Reach 2019. This congressional district includes at least a portion of the Fair Market Rent (FMR) areas listed above. For FMR areas that span more than one state, the data reflect this state's geography. For districts covering more than seven FMR areas, only the seven largest are shown.

\*Severely Burdened: Households spending more than 50% of income on housing costs, including utilities. \*\*Or poverty guideline, if higher. AMI = Area Median Income. Last updated in January 2020. Please Contact NLIHC research staff at (202) 662-1530 to request additional information.

# CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT HOUSING PROFILE



## DISTRICT-LEVEL RENTER STATISTICS

	Total Renter Households	Severely Burdened Households*	% with Severe Burden		Affordable and Available Rental Units Per 100	Surplus/ (Deficit) of Affordable and Available Rental Units
Income at or below 30% of AMI	22,947	16,577	72%	Income at or below 30% of AMI	35	-14,976
Income between 31% and 50% of AMI	16,766	4,846	29%	Income at or below 50% of AMI	66	-13,336
Income between 51% and 80% of AMI	16,974	491	3%	Income at or below 80% of AMI	102	1,168
All Renter Households	89,045	22,137	25%			

**Renters make up 34% of all households in the District**

Source: NLIHC tabulations of 2012-2016 Comprehensive Housing Affordability Strategy (CHAS) data

## STATE-LEVEL RENTER STATISTICS

	Total Renter Households	Severely Burdened Households*	% with Severe Burden		Affordable and Available Rental Units Per 100	Surplus/ (Deficit) of Affordable and Available Rental Units
Income at or below 30%** of AMI	181,160	119,965	66%	Income at or below 30%** of AMI	56	-78,840
Income between 31%** and 50% of AMI	84,765	22,972	27%	Income at or below 50% of AMI	80	-53,922
Income between 51% and 80% of AMI	117,331	4,839	4%	Income at or below 80% of AMI	110	37,254
All Renter Households	593,097	148,458	25%			

**Renters make up 32% of all households in the state**

Source: NLIHC tabulations of 2018 American Community Survey (ACS) Public Use Microdata Sample (PUMS)

## REGIONAL RENTAL AFFORDABILITY STATISTICS

Metropolitan Statistical Areas (MSAs) and Counties in Districts	Total Renter Households	AMI	30% of AMI	Rent Affordable at 30% of AMI	One Bdrm Fair Market Rent	One Bdrm Housing Wage	Two Bdrm Fair Market Rent	Two Bdrm Housing Wage	Hours at Minimum Wage for Two Bdrm	Avg Renter Wage
Montgomery MSA	51,353	\$65,900	\$19,770	\$494	\$696	\$13.38	\$825	\$15.87	88	\$12.87
Dothan HMFA	16,810	\$57,500	\$17,250	\$431	\$519	\$9.98	\$682	\$13.12	72	\$12.57
Dale County	7,588	\$58,500	\$17,550	\$439	\$501	\$9.63	\$629	\$12.10	67	\$16.11
Coffee County	6,798	\$65,900	\$19,770	\$494	\$558	\$10.73	\$680	\$13.08	72	\$9.97
Pike County	5,007	\$50,800	\$15,240	\$381	\$540	\$10.38	\$628	\$12.08	67	\$9.72
Covington County	3,809	\$52,900	\$15,870	\$397	\$488	\$9.38	\$628	\$12.08	67	\$11.50
Barbour County	3,446	\$45,200	\$13,560	\$339	\$503	\$9.67	\$666	\$12.81	71	\$9.90

Source: Out of Reach 2019. This congressional district includes at least a portion of the Fair Market Rent (FMR) areas listed above. For FMR areas that span more than one state, the data reflect this state's geography. For districts covering more than seven FMR areas, only the seven largest are shown.

\*Severely Burdened: Households spending more than 50% of income on housing costs, including utilities. \*\*Or poverty guideline, if higher. AMI = Area Median Income. Last updated in January 2020. Please Contact NLIHC research staff at (202) 662-1530 to request additional information.

DISTRICT-LEVEL RENTER STATISTICS

	Total Renter Households	Severely Burdened Households*	% with Severe Burden		Affordable and Available Rental Units Per 100	Surplus/ (Deficit) of Affordable and Available Rental Units
Income at or below 30% of AMI	23,073	16,387	71%	Income at or below 30% of AMI	32	-15,672
Income between 31% and 50% of AMI	15,044	3,952	26%	Income at or below 50% of AMI	66	-12,882
Income between 51% and 80% of AMI	16,265	663	4%	Income at or below 80% of AMI	97	-1,411
All Renter Households	82,396	21,110	26%			

Renters make up 31% of all households in the District

Source: NLIHC tabulations of 2012-2016 Comprehensive Housing Affordability Strategy (CHAS) data

STATE-LEVEL RENTER STATISTICS

	Total Renter Households	Severely Burdened Households*	% with Severe Burden		Affordable and Available Rental Units Per 100	Surplus/ (Deficit) of Affordable and Available Rental Units
Income at or below 30%** of AMI	181,160	119,965	66%	Income at or below 30%** of AMI	56	-78,840
Income between 31%** and 50% of AMI	84,765	22,972	27%	Income at or below 50% of AMI	80	-53,922
Income between 51% and 80% of AMI	117,331	4,839	4%	Income at or below 80% of AMI	110	37,254
All Renter Households	593,097	148,458	25%			

Renters make up 32% of all households in the state

Source: NLIHC tabulations of 2018 American Community Survey (ACS) Public Use Microdata Sample (PUMS)

REGIONAL RENTAL AFFORDABILITY STATISTICS

Metropolitan Statistical Areas (MSAs) and Counties in Districts	Total Renter Households	AMI	30% of AMI	Rent Affordable at 30% of AMI	One Bdrm Fair Market Rent	One Bdrm Housing Wage	Two Bdrm Fair Market Rent	Two Bdrm Housing Wage	Hours at Minimum Wage for Two Bdrm	Avg Renter Wage
Birmingham-Hoover HMFA	125,388	\$74,400	\$22,320	\$558	\$763	\$14.67	\$873	\$16.79	93	\$15.85
Montgomery MSA	51,353	\$65,900	\$19,770	\$494	\$696	\$13.38	\$825	\$15.87	88	\$12.87
Auburn-Opelika MSA	24,069	\$71,100	\$21,330	\$533	\$627	\$12.06	\$814	\$15.65	86	\$9.56
Anniston-Oxford-Jacksonville MSA	13,804	\$56,200	\$16,860	\$422	\$513	\$9.87	\$676	\$13.00	72	\$10.35
Columbus MSA	9,322	\$59,600	\$17,880	\$447	\$714	\$13.73	\$862	\$16.58	91	\$13.68
Talladega County	8,792	\$51,200	\$15,360	\$384	\$508	\$9.77	\$672	\$12.92	71	\$13.67
Tallapoosa County	4,606	\$53,700	\$16,110	\$403	\$539	\$10.37	\$628	\$12.08	67	\$8.41

Source: Out of Reach 2019. This congressional district includes at least a portion of the Fair Market Rent (FMR) areas listed above. For FMR areas that span more than one state, the data reflect this state's geography. For districts covering more than seven FMR areas, only the seven largest are shown.

\*Severely Burdened: Households spending more than 50% of income on housing costs, including utilities. \*\*Or poverty guideline, if higher. AMI = Area Median Income. Last updated in January 2020. Please Contact NLIHC research staff at (202) 662-1530 to request additional information.

DISTRICT-LEVEL RENTER STATISTICS

	Total Renter Households	Severely Burdened Households*	% with Severe Burden		Affordable and Available Rental Units Per 100	Surplus/ (Deficit) of Affordable and Available Rental Units
Income at or below 30% of AMI	16,375	10,138	62%	Income at or below 30% of AMI	50	-8,216
Income between 31% and 50% of AMI	14,556	3,021	21%	Income at or below 50% of AMI	76	-7,354
Income between 51% and 80% of AMI	15,169	480	3%	Income at or below 80% of AMI	101	310
All Renter Households	68,544	13,701	20%			

Renters make up 26% of all households in the District

Source: NLIHC tabulations of 2012-2016 Comprehensive Housing Affordability Strategy (CHAS) data

STATE-LEVEL RENTER STATISTICS

	Total Renter Households	Severely Burdened Households*	% with Severe Burden		Affordable and Available Rental Units Per 100	Surplus/ (Deficit) of Affordable and Available Rental Units
Income at or below 30%** of AMI	181,160	119,965	66%	Income at or below 30%** of AMI	56	-78,840
Income between 31%** and 50% of AMI	84,765	22,972	27%	Income at or below 50% of AMI	80	-53,922
Income between 51% and 80% of AMI	117,331	4,839	4%	Income at or below 80% of AMI	110	37,254
All Renter Households	593,097	148,458	25%			

Renters make up 32% of all households in the state

Source: NLIHC tabulations of 2018 American Community Survey (ACS) Public Use Microdata Sample (PUMS)

REGIONAL RENTAL AFFORDABILITY STATISTICS

Metropolitan Statistical Areas (MSAs) and Counties in Districts	Total Renter Households	AMI	30% of AMI	Rent Affordable at 30% of AMI	One Bdrm Fair Market Rent	One Bdrm Housing Wage	Two Bdrm Fair Market Rent	Two Bdrm Housing Wage	Hours at Minimum Wage for Two Bdrm	Avg Renter Wage
Birmingham-Hoover HMFA	125,388	\$74,400	\$22,320	\$558	\$763	\$14.67	\$873	\$16.79	93	\$15.85
Tuscaloosa HMFA	28,010	\$66,900	\$20,070	\$502	\$705	\$13.56	\$847	\$16.29	90	\$11.57
Florence-Muscle Shoals MSA	18,178	\$66,200	\$19,860	\$497	\$534	\$10.27	\$675	\$12.98	72	\$10.30
Decatur MSA	15,659	\$62,800	\$18,840	\$471	\$528	\$10.15	\$681	\$13.10	72	\$12.16
Gadsden MSA	10,965	\$57,100	\$17,130	\$428	\$500	\$9.62	\$662	\$12.73	70	\$9.89
Marshall County	10,151	\$54,700	\$16,410	\$410	\$486	\$9.35	\$643	\$12.37	68	\$9.79
Cullman County	7,826	\$53,100	\$15,930	\$398	\$535	\$10.29	\$662	\$12.73	70	\$10.93

Source: Out of Reach 2019. This congressional district includes at least a portion of the Fair Market Rent (FMR) areas listed above. For FMR areas that span more than one state, the data reflect this state's geography. For districts covering more than seven FMR areas, only the seven largest are shown.

\*Severely Burdened: Households spending more than 50% of income on housing costs, including utilities. \*\*Or poverty guideline, if higher. AMI = Area Median Income. Last updated in January 2020. Please Contact NLIHC research staff at (202) 662-1530 to request additional information.

# CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT HOUSING PROFILE



## DISTRICT-LEVEL RENTER STATISTICS

	Total Renter Households	Severely Burdened Households*	% with Severe Burden		Affordable and Available Rental Units Per 100	Surplus/ (Deficit) of Affordable and Available Rental Units
Income at or below 30% of AMI	24,414	15,913	65%	Income at or below 30% of AMI	41	-14,315
Income between 31% and 50% of AMI	16,127	2,080	13%	Income at or below 50% of AMI	88	-4,792
Income between 51% and 80% of AMI	16,924	352	2%	Income at or below 80% of AMI	105	2,815
All Renter Households	82,105	18,512	23%			

**Renters make up 30% of all households in the District**

Source: NLIHC tabulations of 2012-2016 Comprehensive Housing Affordability Strategy (CHAS) data

## STATE-LEVEL RENTER STATISTICS

	Total Renter Households	Severely Burdened Households*	% with Severe Burden		Affordable and Available Rental Units Per 100	Surplus/ (Deficit) of Affordable and Available Rental Units
Income at or below 30%** of AMI	181,160	119,965	66%	Income at or below 30%** of AMI	56	-78,840
Income between 31%** and 50% of AMI	84,765	22,972	27%	Income at or below 50% of AMI	80	-53,922
Income between 51% and 80% of AMI	117,331	4,839	4%	Income at or below 80% of AMI	110	37,254
All Renter Households	593,097	148,458	25%			

**Renters make up 32% of all households in the state**

Source: NLIHC tabulations of 2018 American Community Survey (ACS) Public Use Microdata Sample (PUMS)

## REGIONAL RENTAL AFFORDABILITY STATISTICS

Metropolitan Statistical Areas (MSAs) and Counties in Districts	Total Renter Households	AMI	30% of AMI	Rent Affordable at 30% of AMI	One Bdrm Fair Market Rent	One Bdrm Housing Wage	Two Bdrm Fair Market Rent	Two Bdrm Housing Wage	Hours at Minimum Wage for Two Bdrm	Avg Renter Wage
Huntsville MSA	53,629	\$84,900	\$25,470	\$637	\$685	\$13.17	\$809	\$15.56	86	\$13.59
Florence-Muscle Shoals MSA	18,178	\$66,200	\$19,860	\$497	\$534	\$10.27	\$675	\$12.98	72	\$10.30
Decatur MSA	15,659	\$62,800	\$18,840	\$471	\$528	\$10.15	\$681	\$13.10	72	\$12.16
Jackson County	5,376	\$50,100	\$15,030	\$376	\$576	\$11.08	\$681	\$13.10	72	\$9.65

Source: Out of Reach 2019. This congressional district includes at least a portion of the Fair Market Rent (FMR) areas listed above. For FMR areas that span more than one state, the data reflect this state's geography. For districts covering more than seven FMR areas, only the seven largest are shown.

\*Severely Burdened: Households spending more than 50% of income on housing costs, including utilities. \*\*Or poverty guideline, if higher. AMI = Area Median Income. Last updated in January 2020. Please Contact NLIHC research staff at (202) 662-1530 to request additional information.

# CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT HOUSING PROFILE



## DISTRICT-LEVEL RENTER STATISTICS

	Total Renter Households	Severely Burdened Households*	% with Severe Burden		Affordable and Available Rental Units Per 100	Surplus/ (Deficit) of Affordable and Available Rental Units
Income at or below 30% of AMI	12,653	8,733	69%	Income at or below 30% of AMI	38	-7,887
Income between 31% and 50% of AMI	10,380	3,356	32%	Income at or below 50% of AMI	62	-8,662
Income between 51% and 80% of AMI	14,504	684	5%	Income at or below 80% of AMI	99	-551
All Renter Households	65,318	12,998	20%			

**Renters make up 25% of all households in the District**

Source: NLIHC tabulations of 2012-2016 Comprehensive Housing Affordability Strategy (CHAS) data

## STATE-LEVEL RENTER STATISTICS

	Total Renter Households	Severely Burdened Households*	% with Severe Burden		Affordable and Available Rental Units Per 100	Surplus/ (Deficit) of Affordable and Available Rental Units
Income at or below 30%** of AMI	181,160	119,965	66%	Income at or below 30%** of AMI	56	-78,840
Income between 31%** and 50% of AMI	84,765	22,972	27%	Income at or below 50% of AMI	80	-53,922
Income between 51% and 80% of AMI	117,331	4,839	4%	Income at or below 80% of AMI	110	37,254
All Renter Households	593,097	148,458	25%			

**Renters make up 32% of all households in the state**

Source: NLIHC tabulations of 2018 American Community Survey (ACS) Public Use Microdata Sample (PUMS)

## REGIONAL RENTAL AFFORDABILITY STATISTICS

Metropolitan Statistical Areas (MSAs) and Counties in Districts	Total Renter Households	AMI	30% of AMI	Rent Affordable at 30% of AMI	One Bdrm Fair Market Rent	One Bdrm Housing Wage	Two Bdrm Fair Market Rent	Two Bdrm Housing Wage	Hours at Minimum Wage for Two Bdrm	Avg Renter Wage
Birmingham-Hoover HMFA	125,388	\$74,400	\$22,320	\$558	\$763	\$14.67	\$873	\$16.79	93	\$15.85
Chilton County HMFA	4,143	\$55,300	\$16,590	\$415	\$549	\$10.56	\$628	\$12.08	67	\$11.44
Coosa County	827	\$45,900	\$13,770	\$344	\$511	\$9.83	\$676	\$13.00	72	\$14.32

Source: Out of Reach 2019. This congressional district includes at least a portion of the Fair Market Rent (FMR) areas listed above. For FMR areas that span more than one state, the data reflect this state's geography. For districts covering more than seven FMR areas, only the seven largest are shown.

\*Severely Burdened: Households spending more than 50% of income on housing costs, including utilities. \*\*Or poverty guideline, if higher. AMI = Area Median Income. Last updated in January 2020. Please Contact NLIHC research staff at (202) 662-1530 to request additional information.

DISTRICT-LEVEL RENTER STATISTICS

	Total Renter Households	Severely Burdened Households*	% with Severe Burden		Affordable and Available Rental Units Per 100	Surplus/ (Deficit) of Affordable and Available Rental Units
Income at or below 30% of AMI	36,023	25,352	70%	Income at or below 30% of AMI	39	-22,143
Income between 31% and 50% of AMI	18,377	4,190	23%	Income at or below 50% of AMI	71	-15,838
Income between 51% and 80% of AMI	16,041	439	3%	Income at or below 80% of AMI	100	327
All Renter Households	93,685	30,076	32%			

Renters make up 43% of all households in the District

Source: NLIHC tabulations of 2012-2016 Comprehensive Housing Affordability Strategy (CHAS) data

STATE-LEVEL RENTER STATISTICS

	Total Renter Households	Severely Burdened Households*	% with Severe Burden		Affordable and Available Rental Units Per 100	Surplus/ (Deficit) of Affordable and Available Rental Units
Income at or below 30%** of AMI	181,160	119,965	66%	Income at or below 30%** of AMI	56	-78,840
Income between 31%** and 50% of AMI	84,765	22,972	27%	Income at or below 50% of AMI	80	-53,922
Income between 51% and 80% of AMI	117,331	4,839	4%	Income at or below 80% of AMI	110	37,254
All Renter Households	593,097	148,458	25%			

Renters make up 32% of all households in the state

Source: NLIHC tabulations of 2018 American Community Survey (ACS) Public Use Microdata Sample (PUMS)

REGIONAL RENTAL AFFORDABILITY STATISTICS

Metropolitan Statistical Areas (MSAs) and Counties in Districts	Total Renter Households	AMI	30% of AMI	Rent Affordable at 30% of AMI	One Bdrm Fair Market Rent	One Bdrm Housing Wage	Two Bdrm Fair Market Rent	Two Bdrm Housing Wage	Hours at Minimum Wage for Two Bdrm	Avg Renter Wage
Birmingham-Hoover HMFA	125,388	\$74,400	\$22,320	\$558	\$763	\$14.67	\$873	\$16.79	93	\$15.85
Montgomery MSA	51,353	\$65,900	\$19,770	\$494	\$696	\$13.38	\$825	\$15.87	88	\$12.87
Tuscaloosa HMFA	28,010	\$66,900	\$20,070	\$502	\$705	\$13.56	\$847	\$16.29	90	\$11.57
Dallas County	6,732	\$38,900	\$11,670	\$292	\$524	\$10.08	\$628	\$12.08	67	\$10.64
Clarke County	3,266	\$53,400	\$16,020	\$401	\$538	\$10.35	\$628	\$12.08	67	\$11.08
Marengo County	2,286	\$47,700	\$14,310	\$358	\$539	\$10.37	\$628	\$12.08	67	\$11.39
Pickens County HMFA	2,005	\$49,100	\$14,730	\$368	\$481	\$9.25	\$628	\$12.08	67	\$8.24

Source: Out of Reach 2019. This congressional district includes at least a portion of the Fair Market Rent (FMR) areas listed above. For FMR areas that span more than one state, the data reflect this state's geography. For districts covering more than seven FMR areas, only the seven largest are shown.

\*Severely Burdened: Households spending more than 50% of income on housing costs, including utilities. \*\*Or poverty guideline, if higher. AMI = Area Median Income. Last updated in January 2020. Please Contact NLIHC research staff at (202) 662-1530 to request additional information.